

**Babergh and Mid Suffolk District
Councils**

Audit Results Report

Year ended 31 March 2018

25 July 2018



EY

Building a better
working world



Joint Audit and Standards Committee
Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils

25 July 2018

Dear Committee Members

We are pleased to attach our audit results report for the forthcoming meeting of the Joint Audit and Standards Committee. This report summarises our preliminary audit conclusion in relation to the audit of Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils (the Councils) for 2017/18.

The Authority prepared its unaudited statement of accounts by the 31 May deadline, a good achievement in this first year of earlier deadlines. In agreement with officers we scheduled our onsite audit to commence in late June with planned completion within 4 weeks. However, we have experienced some issues with regards to scoping and completing our work on Group Accounts and finalising the authorities analytics data which has impacted on our audit senior's work plan. Audit resourcing challenges within EY prevented us from bringing in more experienced additional resource until the final 2 weeks of the audit. As a result our audit remains in progress and while we continue to work hard to complete our procedures it is unlikely that we will issue the auditor's report by the 31 July deadline.

We list the outstanding areas of the audit in this report. If we complete our work and do not identify any significant issues, we may be in a position to issue our auditor's report by 31 July. At this stage we expect to issue an unqualified audit opinion on the financial statements in the form at Section 3. We have no matters to report on your arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in your use of resources.

This report is intended solely for the use of the Joint Audit and Standards Committee, other members of the Council, and senior management. It should not be used for any other purpose or given to any other party without obtaining our written consent.

We would like to thank your staff for their help during the engagement.

We will update you of progress on the audit at the Joint Audit and Standards Committee meeting on 30 July 2018.

Yours faithfully

SURESH PALANI, Associate Partner

For and on behalf of Ernst & Young LLP

Encl

Contents


01 Executive Summary

02 Areas of Audit Focus

03 Audit Report

04 Audit Differences

05 Value for Money



06 Other Reporting Issues

07 Assessment of Control Environment

08 Data Analytics

09 Independence

10 Appendices

In April 2015 Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) issued "Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies". It is available from the via the PSAA website (www.PSAA.co.uk). The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas. The "Terms of Appointment (updated February 2017)" issued by the PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and in legislation, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature. This report is made solely to the Joint Audit and Standards Committee and management of Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils in accordance with the statement of responsibilities. Our work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Joint Audit and Standards Committee, and management of Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils those matters we are required to state to them in this report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Joint Audit and Standards Committee and management of Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils for this report or for the opinions we have formed. It should not be provided to any third-party without our prior written consent.



01 Executive Summary

Executive Summary

Scope update

In our audit planning report presented at the 12 March 2018 Joint Audit and Standards Committee meeting, we provided you with an overview of our audit scope and approach for the audit of the financial statements. We carried out our audit in accordance with this plan.

In our Audit Plan, we communicated that our audit procedures would be performed using a materiality of £1.1 million for Babergh DC and £1.2 million for Mid Suffolk DC. This level of materiality remains appropriate for the actual results for the financial year. The basis of our assessment has remained consistent with prior years at 2% of gross expenditure on provision of services. The threshold for reporting misstatements that have an effect on the primary statements (Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement (CIES), Balance Sheet, Movement in Reserves Statement (MiRS), Cash Flow Statement) is £0.836 million for Babergh DC and £0.916 for Mid Suffolk DC.

Status of the audit

We commenced our onsite audit in line with the timetable we agreed with the finance team. However, we have experienced delays in gaining information to scope and complete our work on Group Accounts and have spent additional time finalising the authorities analytics data. This has impacted the scheduling and pace of our work, which has been further hindered by our own audit resourcing challenges. We include further details in Section 6. As a result our audit remains in progress and there is a risk we will not issue our auditor's report by 31 July. The outstanding work is:

- Financial instruments (not started)
- Cashflow (not started)
- Segmental reporting (not started)
- Officers remuneration and exit packages (not started)
- Debtors (in progress)
- Creditors (in progress)
- Capital grants received in advance (in progress)
- Intangible assets (in progress)
- Investment property (not started)
- Investments (in progress)
- Property, Plant and Equipment (in progress)
- Pension scheme liabilities (in progress)
- Provisions (in progress)
- Comprehensive income and expenditure statement (in progress)
- Expenditure and funding analysis (not started)
- Group statements and notes (in progress)
- Housing Revenue Account (in progress)
- Movement in reserves statement (in progress)
- Reserves (not started)
- Review of the final version of the financial statements
- Completion of subsequent events review
- Receipt of the signed management representation letter; and
- Final Manager and Associate Partner reviews

We will update the Committee at the 30 July meeting on our progress in completing the items above and our planned date for issuing the auditor's report.

Executive Summary

Audit differences

Unadjusted differences

To date there are no unadjusted audit differences.

Adjusted

To date we have identified some key audit differences in our work, which have been adjusted by Management within the revised financial statements. The most significant of these relates to accounting for the Community Infrastructure Levy and has resulted in an increase to each authority's general fund balance by £1.7m for Babergh and £1.3m for Mid Suffolk.

The other key adjustment relates to each Authorities share of Pension Fund Assets. Updated information became available during our audit, which led to an increase in each Authorities share of Pension Fund assets by £1.176m for Babergh DC and £1.209m for Mid Suffolk. There were a number of other pension fund disclosures impacted by this amendment. This adjustment is a result of a timing difference between an estimate made by the Actuary, and information that has become available since the time of their initial report. The Authority correctly used the information provided within the original IAS 19 report within its draft financial statements.

We also identified a number of other audit differences in the draft financial statements, which have been adjusted for by management. None of these other adjustments to date have impacted on the General Fund balance or level of usable reserves. We include further details in Section 4.

Areas of audit focus

Our Audit Plan identified key areas of focus for our audit of the Authority's financial statements. This report sets out our observations and conclusions, including our views on areas which might be conservative, and where there is potential risk and exposure. We summarise our consideration of these matters, and any others identified, in the "Key Audit Issues" section of this report.

We ask you to review these and any other matters in this report to ensure:

- ▶ There are no other considerations or matters that could have an impact on these issues
- ▶ You agree with the resolution of the issue
- ▶ There are no other significant issues to be considered.

There are no matters, apart from those reported by management or disclosed in this report, which we believe should be brought to the attention of the Joint Audit and Standards Committee.

Executive Summary

Control observations

We have adopted a fully substantive approach, so have not tested the operation of controls. However, in carrying out our understanding of your internal controls we have not identified any significant weaknesses in your controls that impact on the preparation of the financial statements.

Value for money

We have considered your arrangements to take informed decisions; deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and work with partners and other third parties. In our Audit Plan we identified one significant risk which we have carried out planned procedures and identified no reporting matters. In light of financial pressures across local government we have carried out a high level assessment of your financial resilience. We have reported our findings in Section 5.

We have no matters to include in our auditor's report about your arrangements to secure economy efficiency and effectiveness in your use of resources.

Other reporting issues

We have reviewed the information presented in the Annual Governance Statement for consistency with our knowledge of the Authority. We have no matters to report as a result of this work.

We have performed the procedures required by the National Audit Office (NAO) on the Whole of Government Accounts submission. We had no issues to report.

We have no other matters to report.

Independence

Please refer to Section 9 for our update on Independence. There are no relationships from 1 April 2017 to the date of this report, which we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence and objectivity.



02

Areas of Audit Focus



Areas of Audit Focus

Significant risk

Risk of fraud in revenue and expenditure recognition

What is the risk?

Misstatements that occur in relation to the risk of fraud in revenue and expenditure recognition could affect the income and expenditure account.

Under ISA 240 there is a presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to improper revenue recognition. In the public sector, this requirement is modified by Practice Note 10 issued by the Financial Reporting Council, which states that auditors should also consider the risk that material misstatements may occur by the manipulation of expenditure recognition.

What judgements are we focused on?

We assess that we are able to rebut the presumed fraud risk of revenue income/expenditure not being appropriately recognised with one exception: the risk that revenue expenditure could be charged against capital resources rather than to the general fund.

What did we do?

We performed mandatory procedures, including:


- Reviewing and testing revenue and expenditure recognition policies;
- Reviewing and discussing with management any accounting estimates on revenue or expenditure recognition for evidence of bias;
- Developing a testing strategy to test material revenue and expenditure streams; and
- Reviewing and testing revenue cut-off at the period end date.

What are our conclusions?

We have not identified any material misstatements from revenue and expenditure recognition to date.

Overall our audit work has not identified any material issues or unusual transactions to indicate any misreporting of the Authority's financial position.

We will verbally update the Committee should any matters arise from our remaining work that we wish to bring to your attention.





Areas of Audit Focus

Significant risk

Misstatements due to fraud or error

What is the risk?

The financial statements as a whole are not free of material misstatements whether caused by fraud or error.

As identified in ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.

What judgements are we focused on?

ISA 240 mandates we perform procedures on: accounting estimates, significant unusual transactions and journal entries to ensure they are appropriate and in line with expectations of the business.

We are also required to identify specific additional risks of management override. The specific additional risk identified is with regards to manipulation of accounting estimates with the estimates most likely to be subject to management override of controls being non-routine income and expenditure accruals and provisions and specifically the Non Domestic Rates (NDR) appeals Provision.

What did we do?

We performed mandatory procedures, including:

- Reviewing and testing the appropriateness of journal entries recorded in the general ledger and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements;
- Reviewing accounting estimates for evidence of management bias;
- Evaluating the business rationale for significant unusual transactions; and
- Reviewing capital expenditure on PPE to ensure it meets the relevant accounting requirements to be capitalised.

What are our conclusions?

Our testing has not identified any material misstatements from management override.

Our work on journals and PPE is in progress.

Overall our audit work did not identify any material issues or unusual transactions to indicate any misreporting of the Authority's financial position.





Areas of Audit Focus

Other areas of audit focus – Valuation of Property, Plant and Equipment

What is the area of focus?	What did we do?	Our Conclusions
<p>Valuation of Property, Plant and Equipment</p> <p>Property, Plant and Equipment represents a material balance in the Council's accounts and are subject to valuation changes, impairment reviews and depreciation charges.</p> <p>Material judgemental inputs and estimation techniques are required to calculate the year-end fixed assets balances held in the balance sheet. This is carried out by an expert valuer and is based on a number of complex assumptions.</p> <p>ISAs (UK and Ireland) 500 and 540 require us to undertake procedures on the use of management experts and the assumptions underlying fair value estimates.</p>	<p>We:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Considered the reliance placed on management's valuation experts and undertook a review of the valuer's work. This included comparison to industry valuation trends and reliance on our own valuation experts; ▶ Sample tested key asset information used by the valuer in performing their valuation; ▶ Considered the annual cycle of valuations to ensure that assets had been valued within a 5 year rolling programme as required by the Code for PPE and annually for investment properties. We have also considered if there were any specific changes to assets that have occurred and that these have been communicated to the valuer; ▶ Reviewed assets not subject to valuation in 2017/18 to confirm that the remaining asset base is not materially misstated by using market indices to assess movements from last revaluation dates; ▶ Tested the accounting treatment of valuations made in the year, including the assessment and treatment of impairments. 	<p>Work on Property, Plant & Equipment is still in progress.</p> <p>We will update the Joint Audit and Standards Committee on the outcomes from the work.</p>



Areas of Audit Focus

Other areas of audit focus - Pension Fund Liability

What is the area of focus?	What did we do?	Our Conclusions
<p>Pension Liability Valuation</p> <p>The Local Authority Accounting Code of Practice (the code) and IAS19 require the Council to make extensive disclosures within its financial statements regarding its membership of the Local Government Pension Scheme administered by Suffolk County Council.</p> <p>The Councils' pension fund deficit is a material and sensitive item and the Code requires that this liability be disclosed on the Council's balance sheet. At 31 March 2018 this totalled £21.3 million for Babergh DC and £29.5m for Mid Suffolk DC</p> <p>The information disclosed is based on the IAS 19 report issued to the Council by the actuary to the administering body.</p> <p>Accounting for this scheme involves significant estimation and judgement and therefore management engages an actuary to undertake the calculations on their behalf.</p> <p>ISAs (UK and Ireland) 500 and 540 require us to undertake procedures on the use of management experts and the assumptions underlying fair value estimates.</p>	<p>We have:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Liaised with the auditors of Suffolk Pension Fund, and obtained assurances over the information supplied to the actuary in relation to Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils; ▶ Assessed the work of the Pension Fund actuary (Hymans Robertson) including the assumptions they have used by relying on the work of PWC - Consulting Actuaries commissioned by National Audit Office for all Local Government sector auditors, and considered any relevant reviews by the EY actuarial team; and ▶ Reviewed and tested the accounting entries and disclosures made within the Council's financial statements in relation to IAS19. 	<p>We have reviewed the assessment of the pension fund actuary by PWC and EY pensions and have undertaken the work required.</p> <p>A movement on the total fund asset between the estimated year end balance and the actual was identified by the pension fund auditor. The impact of this was an understatement of the Council's pension assets by £1.176 million for Babergh DC and £1.209 million for Mid Suffolk. This amount is above our summary of audit differences level and has been adjusted in the accounts. See Section 4 for the adjustments.</p> <p>We have not identified any issues with the accounting entries and disclosures made within the financial statements.</p>



Areas of Audit Focus

Other areas of audit focus - Assessment of the Group Boundary

What is the area of focus?	What did we do?	Our Conclusions
<p>Assessment of the Group Boundary</p> <p>The Council has established a Capital Investment Fund Company (CIFCO) in the year to purchase commercial buildings and provide each Council with a revenue stream from collected rents. CIFCO is owned 50/50 between both Councils. This and any other joint arrangement may necessitate the preparation of group accounts.</p> <p>The Councils will need to assess each arrangement to determine what falls within the group boundary and therefore requires consolidating into the Council's financial statements.</p> <p>The Council will need to ensure its consolidation procedures capture all the relevant information and enable it to meet the accounting and disclosure requirements for the Code.</p> <p>There is a risk that associate group changes may go undetected, and that the required disclosures are not made in accordance with the Code.</p>	<p>Our approach focused on the reasonableness of the Council's assessment by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Reviewing the determination of where overall control lies with regard to the operation and delivery of services of the potential group bodies; and ▶ Reviewing the consolidation procedures applied to those bodies that lie within the group boundary. ▶ Reviewing the financial information prepared by the Councils in respect of CIFCO to gain the necessary assurances for our audit of the group financial statements. 	<p>BDC (Suffolk Holdings) Ltd and MSDC (Suffolk Holdings) Ltd have been consolidated into the respective Council's accounts. We concur with this assessment.</p> <p>Gaining the information required to scope and complete our audit work on Group accounts has been challenging and has taken longer than planned.</p> <p>We have liaised with Ensors and established that their audit on the subsidiary companies would not be complete until mid August. We have therefore undertaken alternative procedures to gain audit assurance over the group accounts. At the time of writing our group audit procedures are still in progress. No issues have been identified in the work completed to date.</p>



03 Audit Report



Audit Report

Our proposed opinion on the financial statements

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils Opinion

We have audited the financial statements of Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils for the year ended 31 March 2018 under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. The financial statements comprise the Movement in Reserves Statement, Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, Balance Sheet, Cash Flow Statement, the related notes 1 to 37, the Expenditure and Funding Analysis to the Council Accounts, the Collection Fund and the related notes 1 to 7, the Housing Revenue Account and related notes and the Group Accounts and related notes.

The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18.

In our opinion the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the financial position of Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils as at 31 March 2018 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended; and
- have been prepared properly in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18.

Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements section of our report below. We are independent of the [authority/group] in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in the UK, including the FRC's Ethical Standard and the Comptroller and Auditor General's (C&AG) AGN01, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Conclusions relating to going concern

We have nothing to report in respect of the following matters in relation to which the ISAs (UK) require us to report to you where:

- the Section 151 Officer's use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is not appropriate; or
- the Section 151 Officer has not disclosed in the financial statements any identified material uncertainties that may cast significant doubt about the Authority's ability to continue to adopt the going concern basis of accounting for a period of at least twelve months from the date when the financial statements are authorised for issue.



Audit Report - continued

Our proposed opinion on the financial statements

Other information

The other information comprises the information included in the Statement of Accounts 2017-2018, other than the financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. The Section 151 Officer is responsible for the other information.

Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and, except to the extent otherwise explicitly stated in this report, we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

In connection with our audit of the financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If we identify such material inconsistencies or apparent material misstatements, we are required to determine whether there is a material misstatement in the financial statements or a material misstatement of the other information. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of the other information, we are required to report that fact.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Opinion on other matters prescribed by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014

In our opinion, based on the work undertaken in the course of the audit, having regard to the guidance issued by the C&AG in November 2017, we are satisfied that, in all significant respects, Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2018.

Matters on which we report by exception

We report to you if:

- in our opinion the annual governance statement is misleading or inconsistent with other information forthcoming from the audit or our knowledge of the Council;
- we issue a report in the public interest under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;
- we make written recommendations to the audited body under Section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;
- we make an application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law under Section 28 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;
- we issue an advisory notice under Section 29 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014; or
- we make an application for judicial review under Section 31 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

We have nothing to report in these respects.



Audit Report - continued

Our proposed opinion on the financial statements

Responsibility of the Section 151 Officer

As explained more fully in the Statement of the Section 151 Officer's Responsibilities set out on pages 20, the Section 151 Officer is responsible for the preparation of the Statement of Accounts, which includes the financial statements, in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18, and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view.

In preparing the financial statements, the Section 151 Officer is responsible for assessing the Authority's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the Authority either intends to cease operations, or have no realistic alternative but to do so.

The Authority is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources, to ensure proper stewardship and governance, and to review regularly the adequacy and effectiveness of these arrangements.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements is located on the Financial Reporting Council's website at <https://www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities>.

This description forms part of our auditor's report.

Scope of the review of arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources

We have undertaken our review in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice, having regard to the guidance on the specified criterion issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG) in August 2017, as to whether Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people. The Comptroller and Auditor General determined this criterion as that necessary for us to consider under the Code of Audit Practice in satisfying ourselves whether Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2018.

We planned our work in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice. Based on our risk assessment, we undertook such work as we considered necessary to form a view on whether, in all significant respects, Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils had put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.



Audit Report - continued

Our proposed opinion on the financial statements

We are required under Section 20(1)(c) of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to satisfy ourselves that the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. The Code of Audit Practice issued by the National Audit Office (NAO) requires us to report to you our conclusion relating to proper arrangements.

We report if significant matters have come to our attention which prevent us from concluding that the Authority has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We are not required to consider, nor have we considered, whether all aspects of the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources are operating effectively.

Certificate

We certify that we have completed the audit of the accounts of Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the Code of Audit Practice issued by the National Audit Office.

Use of our report

This report is made solely to the members of Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils, as a body, in accordance with Part 5 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and for no other purpose, as set out in paragraph 43 of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies published by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Authority and the Authority's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.



04 Audit Differences





Audit Differences

In the normal course of any audit, we identify misstatements between amounts we believe should be recorded in the financial statements and the disclosures and amounts actually recorded. These differences are classified as “known” or “judgemental”. Known differences represent items that can be accurately quantified and relate to a definite set of facts or circumstances. Judgemental differences generally involve estimation and relate to facts or circumstances that are uncertain or open to interpretation.

Summary of adjusted differences

We have included all known amounts greater than £0.046 million relating to Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils in our summary of misstatements. We highlight the following misstatements in the financial statements identified during the audit. These have been corrected by management:

1. Pension Adjustments

The Council as an admitted body within the Suffolk Pension Fund is reliant upon the Pension Fund’s Actuary to provide it with the relevant information in relation to the Authority’s share of assets and liabilities (through an IAS19 report) for inclusion within its financial statements.

The Actuary, within its IAS19 report (dated April 2018) estimated the Pension Fund asset value as at the 31 March 2018 and the Authority used the estimated figure within its draft financial statements. Our audit procedures focus on securing appropriate assurances from the Suffolk Pension Fund auditor. These procedures, identified that the actual asset valuation at the 31 March 2018 was £40 million greater than the Actuary’s estimate. The Authority’s share of this increase is £1.176 million for Babergh DC and £1.209 for Mid Suffolk DC.

Given the size of the difference and impact on the statements we asked the Authority to liaise with the Pension Fund Actuary. The Pension Fund Actuary has updated the IAS 19 report that it provided to the Authority, taking into account the actual year end asset valuations. The Actuary also updated the IAS 19 report in relation to the impact of the asset valuation change on other figures reported by the Authority. The Authority has adjusted the statements using the revised figures from the updated IAS 19 report. This adjustment is a result of a timing difference between an estimate made by the Actuary, and information that has become available since the time of their initial report. The Authority correctly used the information provided within the original IAS 19 report within its draft financial statements.



Audit Differences

Summary of adjusted differences

We also highlight the following misstatements which have been corrected by management:

Babergh District Council

- CIES testing - As part of our interim income testing we identified one Community Infrastructure Levy invoice to which payment had been received in instalments and recognised as revenue on receipt of monies. Revenue was therefore recognised on a cash basis rather than on an accruals basis. We undertook further testing which found that there was a material amount outstanding at the year end. For Babergh DC, revenue and debtors were understated by an estimated £1.7 million.
- CIES testing - we identified ICT expenditure of £36,080 which should have been capitalised. This was ICT expenditure relating to the upgrade of the ICON system and the related consultancy fees. The total amount of £36,080 has been split 50/50 between Babergh and Mid Suffolk.
- Note 14C financial instruments - a £64k casting error was identified in the note disclosure.
- Note 37 Investment property £4 million - In determining the investment property value, the valuer used a rental yield of £0.327 million. As per the Council's records a rental of £0.280 million has been received from these properties. This has resulted in an overstatement of £0.5 million in the valuation.
- Capital grants and contributions received in advance is shown in the balance sheet at £2.470 million. As per paragraph 2.3.4.1(c) of the CIPFA code, material grants received in advance needs to be shown in a separate disclosure note.
- Collection Fund Note 2 - Total rateable value has been incorrectly stated at £63.299 million. This should state £63.443 million as per the year end VOA report.
- 2016/17 restatements - we requested that management disclose the reasons for any restatements.



Audit Differences

Summary of adjusted differences

Mid Suffolk District Council

- CIES testing - As part of our interim income testing we identified one Community Infrastructure Levy invoice to which payment had been received in instalments and recognised as revenue on receipt of monies. Revenue was therefore recognised on a cash basis rather than on an accruals basis. We undertook further testing which found that there was a material amount outstanding at the year end. For Mid Suffolk, revenue and debtors were understated by an estimated £1.3 million.
- CIES testing - we identified ICT expenditure of £36,080 which should have been capitalised. This was ICT expenditure relating to the upgrade of the ICON system and the related consultancy fees. The total amount of £36,080 has been split 50/50 between Babergh and Mid Suffolk.
- Capital grants and contributions received in advance is shown in the balance sheet at £3.735 million. As per paragraph 2.3.4.1(c) of the CIPFA code, material grants received in advance needs to be shown in a separate disclosure note.
- Collection Fund Note 2 - Total rateable value has been incorrectly stated at £60.333 million. This should state £60.270 million as per the year end VOA report.
- 2016/17 restatements - we requested that management disclose the reasons for any restatements.




05

Value for Money Risks





Value for Money



Background

We are required to consider whether the Council has put in place 'proper arrangements' to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on its use of resources. This is known as our value for money conclusion. For 2017/18 this is based on the overall evaluation criterion:

"In all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people"

Proper arrangements are defined by statutory guidance issued by the National Audit Office. They comprise your arrangements to:

- Take informed decisions;
- Deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and
- Work with partners and other third parties.

In considering your proper arrangements, we will draw on the requirements of the CIPFA/SOLACE framework for local government to ensure that our assessment is made against a framework that you are already required to have in place and to report on through documents such as your annual governance statement.

Financial Resilience and Assessment of the Authority's Reserve Position

As part of our assessment of your proper arrangements, we considered each Authority's financial resilience over the medium term and the impact on the level of General Fund Reserve balances at the 31 March 2018 and at the 31 March 2021.

Our assessment of this is set out on the next page.

Overall conclusion


We did not identify any significant risks around these criteria.

We therefore expect having no matters to report about your arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in your use of resources.



Value for Money


Babergh DC Assessment of Reserves Position to 2021





Value for Money

Mid Suffolk DC Assessment of Reserves Position to 2021



Our Assessment

In our assessment we considered:

- The level of savings requirement to balance the General Fund budget in each of the next 3 years;
- The planned use of reserves to support the General Fund budget in each of the next 3 years;
- The history of delivering savings plans and therefore the potential to call upon reserves to make up a shortfall in future savings plan delivery;
- The history of over or under spending on the General Fund budget, and the impact this trajectory would have on the use of General Fund reserves;
- Other local considerations that may impact on the reserve position.

As a result of our assessment, we are satisfied that the Authority's General Fund reserve balance at the 31 March 2021 will remain above the Authority's approved minimum level of £1.2 million for Babergh DC and £1.05 million for Mid Suffolk DC.



06 Other reporting issues



Other reporting issues

Consistency of other information published with the financial statements, including the Annual Governance Statement

We must give an opinion on the consistency of the financial and non-financial information in the Statement of Accounts 2017/18 with the audited financial statements

We must also review the Annual Governance Statement for completeness of disclosures, consistency with other information from our work, and whether it complies with relevant guidance.

Financial information in the Statement of Accounts 2017/18 and published with the financial statements was consistent with the audited financial statements.

We have reviewed the Annual Governance Statement and can confirm it is consistent with other information from our audit of the financial statements and we have no other matters to report.

Whole of Government Accounts

Alongside our work on the financial statements, we also review and report to the National Audit Office on your Whole of Government Accounts return. The extent of our review, and the nature of our report, is specified by the National Audit Office.

As the Authority falls below the £500 million threshold for review as per the NAO's group instructions, we are not reporting any matters to the National Audit Office (NAO) regarding the Whole of Government Accounts submission.

Other powers and duties

We have a duty under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to consider whether to report on any matter that comes to our attention in the course of the audit, either for the Authority to consider it or to bring it to the attention of the public (i.e. "a report in the public interest"). We did not identify any issues which required us to issue a report in the public interest.

We also have a duty to make written recommendations to the Authority, copied to the Secretary of State, and take action in accordance with our responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. We did not identify any issues.

Other reporting issues

Other reporting issues

Other matters

As required by ISA (UK&I) 260 and other ISAs specifying communication requirements, we must tell you significant findings from the audit and other matters if they are significant to your oversight of the Authority's financial reporting process. They include the following:

- Significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures;
- Any significant difficulties encountered during the audit;
- Any significant matters arising from the audit that were discussed with management;
- Written representations we have requested;
- Expected modifications to the audit report;
- Any other matters significant to overseeing the financial reporting process;
- Related parties;
- External confirmations;
- Going concern;
- Consideration of laws and regulations.

We have no matters to report.



07

Assessment of Control Environment



Assessment of Control Environment

Financial controls

It is the responsibility of the Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils to develop and implement systems of internal financial control and to put in place proper arrangements to monitor their adequacy and effectiveness in practice. Our responsibility as your auditor is to consider whether the Council has put adequate arrangements in place to satisfy itself that the systems of internal financial control are both adequate and effective in practice.

As part of our audit of the financial statements, we obtained an understanding of internal control sufficient to plan our audit and determine the nature, timing and extent of testing performed. As we have adopted a fully substantive approach, we have therefore not tested the operation of controls.

Although our audit was not designed to express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control we are required to communicate to you significant deficiencies in internal control.

We have not identified any significant deficiencies in the design or operation of an internal control that might result in a material misstatement in your financial statements of which you are not aware.



08 Data Analytics



Use of Data Analytics in the Audit

Analytics Driven Audit

Data analytics

We used our data analysers to enable us to capture entire populations of your financial data. These analysers:

- ▶ Help identify specific exceptions and anomalies which can then be the focus of our substantive audit tests; and
- ▶ Give greater likelihood of identifying errors than traditional, random sampling techniques.

In 2017/18, our use of these analysers in the authority's audit included testing journal entries to identify and focus our testing on those entries we deem to have the highest inherent risk to the audit.

We capture the data through our formal data requests and the data transfer takes place on a secured EY website. These are in line with our EY data protection policies which are designed to protect the confidentiality, integrity and availability of business and personal information.

Journal Entry Analysis

We obtain downloads of all LG financial ledger transactions posted in the year. We perform completeness analysis over the data, reconciling the sum of transactions to the movement in the trial balances and financial statements to ensure we have captured all data. Our analysers then review and sort transactions, allowing us to more effectively identify and test journals that we consider to be higher risk, as identified in our audit planning report.




Data Analytics

Journal Entry Data Insights

The graphic outlined below summarises the Council's journal population for 2017/18. We review journals by certain risk based criteria to focus on higher risk transactions, such as journals posted manually by management, those posted around the year-end, those with unusual debit and credit relationships, and those posted by individuals we would not expect to be entering transactions.

The purpose of this approach is to provide a more effective, risk focused approach to auditing journal entries, minimising the burden of compliance on management by minimising randomly selected samples. We have shared this information in our Audit Results Report to provide additional insight and value from our audit procedures.





Data Analytics

Journal Entry Data Insights

EY Helix - GLASS: Journal Entry Data Insights - Mid Suffolk - 31/03/2018





09

Independence

Independence

Confirmation

We confirm that there are no changes in our assessment of independence since our confirmation in our audit planning board report dated 29 January 2018.

We complied with the FRC Ethical Standards and the requirements of the PSAA's Terms of Appointment. In our professional judgement the firm is independent and the objectivity of the audit engagement partner and audit staff has not been compromised within the meaning of regulatory and professional requirements.

We consider that our independence in this context is a matter which you should review, as well as us. It is important that you and your Joint Audit and Standards Committee consider the facts known to you and come to a view. If you would like to discuss any matters concerning our independence, we will be pleased to do this at the meeting of the Joint Audit and Standards Committee on 30 July 2018.

Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

The FRC Ethical Standard requires that we provide details of all relationships between Ernst & Young (EY) and your Authority, and its directors and senior management and its affiliates, including all services provided by us and our network to your Council, its directors and senior management and its affiliates, and other services provided to other known connected parties that we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on our integrity or objectivity, including those that could compromise independence and the related safeguards that are in place and why they address the threats. There are no relationships from 01 April 2017 to the date of this report, which we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence and objectivity.



Services provided by Ernst & Young

Below includes a summary of the fees that you have paid to us in the year ended 31 March 2018 in line with the disclosures set out in FRC Ethical Standard and in statute.

We confirm that none of the services listed in the table have been provided on a contingent fee basis. As at the date of this report, there are no future services which have been contracted and no written proposal to provide non-audit services has been submitted.

Independence

Fee Analysis

As part of our reporting on our independence, we set out below a summary of the fees paid for the year ended 31 March 2018.

We confirm that we have not undertaken non-audit work outside the PSAA Code requirements.

	Final Fee 2017/18	Planned Fee 2017/18	Scale Fee 2017/18	Final Fee 2016/17
Babergh District Council	£'s	£'s	£'s	£'s
Total Audit Fee - Code work	*	48,812	48,812	51,812**
Audit of group accounts	tbc	2,500		
Grant Claims	tbc	23,051	23,051	17,250
Total Fees	tbc	74,363	71,863	69,062

	Final Fee 2017/18	Planned Fee 2017/18	Scale Fee 2017/18	Final Fee 2016/17
Mid Suffolk District Council	£'s	£'s	£'s	£'s
Total Audit Fee - Code work	*	43,425	43,425	46,425**
Audit of group accounts	tbc	2,500		
Grant Claims	tbc	18,665	18,665	18,926
Total Fees	tbc	64,590	62,090	65,351

* Gaining the information required to scope and complete our audit work on Group accounts has been challenging and has taken longer than planned. We also spent additional time reviewing and finalising the Councils' analytics data. We need to assess the impact of these delays and additional work on the quantum of our audit work. This will lead to a variation to the Scale Fee being levied but we are at this stage, unable to quantify the quantum of that amount. This additional fee will be discussed with management and is then subject to approval by the PSAA.

We will confirm our final fees following the completion of our work and report this within our Annual Audit Letter.

** The 2016/17 final fee includes an additional £3,000 for each council for additional work agreeing and testing Property, Plant and Equipment disclosures, obtaining, reviewing and finalising the Councils' payroll analytics data, and resolving working paper issues on some key areas of testing.



10 Appendices



Appendix C - Required communications with the Joint Audit and Standards Committee

There are certain communications that we must provide to the Joint Audit and Standards Committee. We have detailed these here together with a reference of when and where they were covered:

 Our Reporting to you		
Required communications	 What is reported?	 When and where
Terms of engagement	Confirmation by the Joint Audit and Standards Committee of acceptance of terms of engagement as written in the engagement letter signed by both parties.	The statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the PSAA's appointed auditors and audited bodies.
Our responsibilities	Reminder of our responsibilities as set out in the engagement letter.	Audit Plan - 12 March 2018
Planning and audit approach	Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit, any limitations and the significant risks identified.	Audit Plan - 12 March 2018
Significant findings from the audit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures ▶ Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit ▶ Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management ▶ Written representations that we are seeking ▶ Expected modifications to the audit report ▶ Other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process 	Audit Results Report - 30 July 2018

Appendix C - continued

		 Our Reporting to you
 Required communications	 What is reported?	 When and where
Going concern	<p>Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty ▶ Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements ▶ The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements 	No conditions or events were identified, either individually or together to raise any doubt about the Councils' ability to continue for the 12 months from the date of our report.
Misstatements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion ▶ The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods ▶ A request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected ▶ Material misstatements corrected by management 	Audit Results Report - 30 July 2018
Subsequent events	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Enquiry of the Joint Audit and Standards Committee where appropriate regarding whether any subsequent events have occurred that might affect the financial 	Joint Audit and Standards Committee - 30 July 2018
Fraud	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Enquiries of the Joint Audit and Standards Committee to determine whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the Council ▶ Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that a fraud may exist ▶ Unless all of those charged with governance are involved in managing the Council, any identified or suspected fraud involving: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Management; b. Employees who have significant roles in internal control; or c. Others where the fraud results in a material misstatement in the financial statements. ▶ The nature, timing and extent of audit procedures necessary to complete the audit when fraud involving management is suspected ▶ Any other matters related to fraud, relevant to Joint Audit and Standards Committee responsibility. 	Audit Results Report - 30 July 2018

Appendix C - continued

		 Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	  When and where
Related parties	<p>Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the Council's related parties including, when applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Non-disclosure by management ▶ Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions ▶ Disagreement over disclosures ▶ Non-compliance with laws and regulations ▶ Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the Council 	Audit Results Report - 30 July 2018
Independence	<p>Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's, and all individuals involved in the audit, objectivity and independence.</p> <p>Communication of key elements of the audit engagement partner's consideration of independence and objectivity such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The principal threats ▶ Safeguards adopted and their effectiveness ▶ An overall assessment of threats and safeguards ▶ Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence <p>Communications whenever significant judgments are made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of safeguards put in place.</p>	<p>Audit Plan - 12 March 2018</p> <p>Audit Results Report - 30 July 2018</p>

Appendix C - continued

		 Our Reporting to you
Required communications	 What is reported?	 When and where
External confirmations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Management’s refusal for us to request confirmations ▶ Inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures 	Audit Results Report - 30 July 2018
Consideration of laws and regulations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Audit findings regarding non-compliance where the non-compliance is material and believed to be intentional. This communication is subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off ▶ Enquiry of the Joint Audit and Standards Committee into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the Joint Audit and Standards Committee may be aware of 	Audit Results Report - 30 July 2018
Internal controls	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit 	Audit Results Report - 30 July 2018



Appendix C- continued

		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	When and where
Written representations we are requesting from management and/or those charged with governance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Written representations we are requesting from management and/or those charged with governance 	Audit Results Report - 30 July 2018
Material inconsistencies or misstatements of fact identified in other information which management has refused to revise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Material inconsistencies or misstatements of fact identified in other information which management has refused to revise 	Audit Results Report - 30 July 2018
Auditors report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Any circumstances identified that affect the form and content of our auditor's report 	Audit Results Report - 30 July 2018
Fee Reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Breakdown of fee information when the audit planning report is agreed ▶ Breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit ▶ Any non-audit work 	Audit Plan - 12 March 2018 Audit Results Report - 30 July 2018 Annual Audit Letter - Due August/September 2018
Certification work	Summary of certification work undertaken	Annual Certification report - Due December 2018.

Management representation letter

Request for a Management Representation Letter

[To be prepared on the entity's letterhead]

[Date]

Ernst & Young

This letter of representations is provided in connection with your audit of the consolidated and council financial statements of Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils ("the Group and Council") for the year ended 31 March 2018. We recognise that obtaining representations from us concerning the information contained in this letter is a significant procedure in enabling you to form an opinion as to whether the consolidated and council financial statements give a true and fair view of the Group and Council financial position of Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils as of 31 March 2018 and of its financial performance (or operations) and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with, for the Group and Council CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18.

We understand that the purpose of your audit of our consolidated and council financial statements is to express an opinion thereon and that your audit was conducted in a true and fair view of the Group and Council financial accordance with International Standards on Auditing, which involves an examination of the accounting system, internal control and related data to the extent you considered necessary in the circumstances, and is not designed to identify - nor necessarily be expected to disclose - all fraud, shortages, errors and other irregularities, should any exist.

Accordingly, we make the following representations, which are true to the best of our knowledge and belief, having made such inquiries as we considered necessary for the purpose of appropriately informing ourselves:

A. Financial Statements and Financial Records

1. We have fulfilled our responsibilities, under the relevant statutory authorities, for the preparation of the financial statements in accordance with, for the Group and Council the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 and CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18.
2. We acknowledge, as members of management of the Group and Council, our responsibility for the fair presentation of the consolidated and council financial statements. We believe the consolidated and council financial statements referred to above give a true and fair view of the financial position, financial performance (or results of operations) and cash flows of the Group and Council in accordance with the CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18 and are free of material misstatements, including omissions. We have approved the consolidated and council financial statements.
3. The significant accounting policies adopted in the preparation of the Group and Council financial statements are appropriately described in the Group and Council financial statements.
4. As members of management of the Group and Council, we believe that the Group and Council have a system of internal controls adequate to enable the preparation of accurate financial statements in accordance with the CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18 for the Group and Council that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.
5. There are no unadjusted audit differences identified during the current audit and pertaining to the latest period presented.

Management representation letter

Request for a Management Representation Letter

B. Non-compliance with law and regulations, including fraud

1. We acknowledge that we are responsible to determine that the Group and Council's activities are conducted in accordance with laws and regulations and that we are responsible to identify and address any non-compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including fraud.
2. We acknowledge that we are responsible for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal controls to prevent and detect fraud.
3. We have disclosed to you the results of our assessment of the risk that the consolidated and Council financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud.
4. We have no knowledge of any identified or suspected non-compliance with laws or regulations, including fraud that may have affected the Group or Council (regardless of the source or form and including without limitation, any allegations by "whistleblowers"), including non-compliance matters:
 - involving financial statements;
 - related to laws and regulations that have a direct effect on the determination of material amounts and disclosures in the consolidated or Council's financial statements;
 - related to laws and regulations that have an indirect effect on amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, but compliance with which may be fundamental to the operations of the Group or Council's activities, its ability to continue to operate, or to avoid material penalties;
 - involving management, or employees who have significant roles in internal controls, or others; or
 - in relation to any allegations of fraud, suspected fraud or other non-compliance with laws and regulations communicated by employees, former employees, analysts, regulators or others.

C. Information Provided and Completeness of Information and Transactions

1. We have provided you with:
 - Access to all information of which we are aware that is relevant to the preparation of the financial statements such as records, documentation and other matters;
 - Additional information that you have requested from us for the purpose of the audit; and
 - Unrestricted access to persons within the entity from whom you determined it necessary to obtain audit evidence.
2. All material transactions have been recorded in the accounting records and are reflected in the consolidated and council financial statements.
3. We have made available to you all minutes of the meetings of the Council, and committees for the Cabinet and Audit and Standards Committee (or summaries of actions of recent meetings for which minutes have not yet been prepared) held through the year to the most recent meeting on the following date: [list date].
4. We confirm the completeness of information provided regarding the identification of related parties. We have disclosed to you the identity of the Group and Council's related parties and all related party relationships and transactions of which we are aware, including sales, purchases, loans, transfers of assets, liabilities and services, leasing arrangements, guarantees, non-monetary transactions and transactions for no consideration for the year ended, as well as related balances due to or from such parties at the year end. These transactions have been appropriately accounted for and disclosed in the consolidated and council financial statements.
5. We believe that the significant assumptions we used in making accounting estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable.
6. We have disclosed to you, and the Group and Council has complied with, all aspects of contractual agreements that could have a material effect on the consolidated and council financial statements in the event of non-compliance, including all covenants, conditions or other requirements of all outstanding debt.

Management representation letter

Request for a Management Representation Letter

D. Liabilities and Contingencies

1. All liabilities and contingencies, including those associated with guarantees, whether written or oral, have been disclosed to you and are appropriately reflected in the consolidated and council financial statements.
2. We have informed you of all outstanding and possible litigation and claims, whether or not they have been discussed with legal counsel.
3. We have recorded and/or disclosed, as appropriate, all liabilities related litigation and claims, both actual and contingent, and confirm there are no guarantees that we have given to third parties.

E. Subsequent Events

1. There have been no events subsequent to period end which require adjustment of or disclosure in the consolidated and council financial statements or notes thereto.

F. Group audits

1. There are no significant restrictions on our ability to distribute the retained profits of the Group because of statutory, contractual, exchange control or other restrictions other than those indicated in the Group financial statements.
2. Necessary adjustments have been made to eliminate all material intra-group unrealised profits on transactions amongst council, subsidiary undertakings and associated undertakings.

G. Other information

1. We acknowledge our responsibility for the preparation of the other information. The other information comprises the Narrative Report and the Annual Governance Statement.
2. We confirm that the content contained within the other information is consistent with the financial statements.

Yours faithfully,

Assistant Director - Corporate Resources

Chairman of the Joint Audit and Standards Committee

EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

About EY

EY is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. The insights and quality services we deliver help build trust and confidence in the capital markets and in economies the world over. We develop outstanding leaders who team to deliver on our promises to all of our stakeholders. In so doing, we play a critical role in building a better working world for our people, for our clients and for our communities.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

© 2017 EYGM Limited.
All Rights Reserved.

ED None

This material has been prepared for general informational purposes only and is not intended to be relied upon as accounting, tax, or other professional advice. Please refer to your advisors for specific advice.

ey.com